

The Times-Dispatch

Published every day in the year by
The Times-Dispatch Publishing Co., Inc.
THE TIMES, Founded 1858
THE DISPATCH, Founded 1850

Address all communications
THE TIMES-DISPATCH,
Telephone, Randolph 1.
Publication Office, 10 S. Tenth Street
Richmond, Va. 1020 Hull Street
Petersburg, 109 N. Sycamore Street
Lynchburg, 218 Eighth Street

HASBROOK, STORY & BROOKS, INC.
Special Advertising Representatives.
New York, 200 Fifth Avenue
Philadelphia, 100 N. 10th Street
Chicago, 100 N. Dearborn Street

SUBSCRIPTION RATES.
BY MAIL. One Six Three One
Year. Month. Week. Day.
Daily and Sunday, \$6.00 \$3.00 \$1.50 \$.55
Daily only, 4.00 2.00 1.00 .35
Sunday only, 2.00 1.00 .50 .25

By Times-Dispatch Carrier Delivery Service in
Richmond (and suburbs) and Petersburg:
Daily with Sunday, one week, 15 cents
Daily without Sunday, one week, 10 cents
Sunday only, 5 cents

Entered January 27, 1905, at Richmond, Va., as
second-class matter under act of Congress of
March 3, 1879.

SATURDAY, JULY 25, 1914.

Keep in touch with home news during
vacation by reading The Times-Dispatch

The Tyrone Compromise

THEY make queer compromises in Great Britain, but surely the queerest of all is that by which the home rule trouble is to be settled. The Province of Ulster is to be left under the London Parliament, except for County Tyrone, which is to owe allegiance to the Dublin government. That is, a piece of territory within a larger piece is to have a government different from that which surrounds it.

The catastrophe of civil war must be avoided at any cost, even at the cost of appearing ridiculous.

The Real Criminal

A SOUTH CAROLINIAN denounced by Cole Bleas was later murderously assaulted, and now hangs between life and death. Governor Bleas expresses sorrow, and promises that every effort will be made to capture the criminal. Why? Why confine a murderer if he is to be pardoned as soon as incarcerated? If he is not to be pardoned, again why? Others have been, and they were as guilty as this man, or more so, for this man had a right to believe that he could do what he would and escape punishment. He shot because Cole Bleas had already told him and all potential criminals in South Carolina to shoot and go free. His crime was Cole Bleas's crime. If Dr. McIntosh dies, the real murderer is Cole Bleas. Maybe that answers our first question. Maybe that is why he is sorry.

The Currency System Menaced

PRESIDENT WILSON has withdrawn the nomination of Thomas Jones for the Federal Reserve Board. This action was taken at Mr. Jones's request, and, in view of the nominee's letter, the President could follow no other course. Probably his action was a wise one under any circumstances. Two Democratic Senators were delaying the organization of the new currency system and were delaying consideration of the antitrust laws. While the country would have placed the chief blame upon their shoulders, the President could not have escaped some part of the responsibility when he, at any moment, could have ended the delay by withdrawing Mr. Jones's name.

The case of Mr. Warburg is different, and unless that gentleman should insist upon withdrawing, we hope and believe the President will stand by his guns. Mr. Warburg is the best man available in the country. The opposition to him is political, as, indeed, it was in the case of Mr. Jones. His defeat would be a blow to the new currency system, not only because of the loss of Mr. Warburg's services, but also because it would prove that the Federal Reserve Board is to be a foothold of politicians, and that is worse than delay in organization. If politics is to creep into the system, its usefulness is gone. It will mean that good men must not expect appointment unless they have political influence. Mr. Warburg should be confirmed at all hazards.

A Sensible Decision

JUDGE W. B. SHEPPARD, of the United States Court for the Northern District of Florida, has handed down a decision that ought to be a substantial victory for Federal arbitration in industrial disputes. The decision was on the proposal of a railroad to set aside the award of a Federal arbitration board on a distinct technicality. The judge held that if principals in arbitration under the Federal law took such verdicts to courts on technicalities, the effect would be to make the courts, and not the boards, the real agencies of arbitration. That would upset the purpose of the arbitration act.

The decision is sound sense, as well as sound law. To use a term from the great national game, poker, for any party to an arbitration to "renig," if the effects of the ruling do not go to suit them, is to make a mockery of not only arbitration, but reason itself. The two principles upon which arbitration or mediation stands are mutual concession and justice, and mutual consent to abide by the decision. Wipe out the latter provision, and we may as well abandon arbitration.

Refreshing to relate, this is the first decision under the Federal act. That means that heretofore all principals in arbitration under that act have acted fairly up to their written and implied agreements. That fact, and the eminent equity of the decision of Judge Sheppard, hearten the hopes of those who would abolish the strike and the lock-out, and other dangerous and demoralizing devices for adjudicating industrial disturbances.

A Little Too Far

UNLESS we have misinterpreted the substance of an Associated Press dispatch, Representative Bartholdt, of Missouri, has become just a trifle too extreme in his advocacy of peace and peace legislation. He is reported as wanting to amend the Constitution to the effect that Congress shall not have power to declare war, except for purposes of self-defense.

Of course, the phrase might be distorted to mean that fitting out a fleet or an army for purposes of aggression was self-defense in the last analysis. But if we are going to clutter the war-making power with ambiguity

ous sentiments, the cause of peace will lose rather than gain friends.

It is unlikely that many sound-thinking Congressmen of any political party would lend their approval to legislation that would tie the hands of this country in the event of hostilities with another nation. And the meaning back of the Bartholdt clause plainly intimates that, as a nation, we shall sit tight in the boat and let any other nation walk all over our rights and honor, unless that nation sees fit to invade our shores.

Then, and only then, can we prepare to defend ourselves or strike back.

If such is the proposition of the gentleman from Missouri, it answers itself as a transparent absurdity. He is likely to get his notoriety for his pains. Sentiment for universal peace is fast spreading in this country. We are finding the fallacy of the old maxim that war is profitable, and finding instead that peace is profitable. But there is little danger that in seeking a desirable end, we will consent to make ourselves ridiculous or helpless before the rest of the world.

Personalities in Politics

JUST how far a man can go in New York in denunciation of a political opponent will be determined when, and if, the \$50,000 suit for libel brought by William Barnes against Theodore Roosevelt is heard by a jury. Colonel Roosevelt used some very caustic and vituperative language in his letter endorsing Mr. Hinman for the Republican candidate for Governor of New York, but he has said as much on other occasions and of other people. The Colonel was never famous for bridling his tongue. Now, however, Mr. Barnes professes to be tired of such attacks, and not caring to enter into a mud-slinging contest with the champion mud-slinger of the universe, he goes into court.

A decision in his favor is extremely doubtful. So long as political opponents do not attack the personal habits or extra-political actions of each other, public opinion is not favorable to court action. If one or the other transcends bounds set by precedent, the recourse is to the polls, and there it is rebuked if the voters agree that the line of truth and decency has been crossed. This method of settling the question is not always satisfactory to the man attacked, but in a country of free speech and rule by public opinion, it is probably the best and safest course. For the courts to attempt to set limits to what can be said of a candidate or a political leader is to invite suppression of facts, and would tend to prevent exposure of corruption, and would shake even legitimate discussion of personalities from stump and through the public press.

In the old days the offended man had recourse to the code duello, and much rubbish has been spoken and written about the effect of the custom in keeping speakers and writers within bounds, but, as a matter of fact, it was not effective. Political controversy then was far more bitter in expression, if not in intent, than now; and personalities of the most offensive kind were very much more frequent. The custom of holding speakers to personal account made matters neither better nor worse; the abolition of the custom had no effect upon the tongue. Conditions changed for the better, because public opinion underwent a change. The people did not want it, and the candidates and partisan newspapers soon found it out. Then came the change, and the change is still going on.

In the instance under discussion, an opinion cannot be given until the court has passed upon it. Colonel Roosevelt is a notorious offender, but his successes, we believe, have been in spite of his vitriolic tongue, and to it, in part at least, may be attributed his recent failures. Nevertheless, plain speaking is sometimes desirable, and to define too clearly the limits, to leave out of consideration circumstances and conditions, to bring political questions before a jury, which perforce must have political views and prejudices, would be to place a public man at the mercy of partisanship, and, as we have already said, would hamper free discussion and make dangerous proper attacks upon public enemies.

Wide latitude should be allowed when public servants and political questions are under discussion. If Colonel Roosevelt has gone beyond the limits of decency, and has libeled a man who, after all, is only a semi-public individual, he should be made to pay the penalty, but, as a rule, it is better to leave such questions to public opinion.

The Huerta Concessions

WHILE we are likely to hear a good deal of criticism of President Wilson's refusal to recognize as binding the concessions granted by the Huerta government to nationals of foreign states, the weight of public opinion will assuredly back his stand.

The United States has consistently held that the Huerta regime was a usurpation, and, therefore, illegal. Being illegal, it could not legally part with any Mexican property. Those who obtained the concessions did so with full knowledge that the title of the grantor was not clear. If they took advantage of the disturbed state of Mexico to obtain valuable privileges, they have no sound cause for complaint if privileges so obtained are held to be illegal when the country becomes peaceful.

Reports from Washington indicate that, while our government will be firm in not sanctioning the concessions granted by Huerta, a different view may be taken with respect to loans obtained by Huerta from foreign countries, and that responsibility for these loans may have to be assumed by the Constitutionalists. There is an apparent inconsistency about this that is apt to be troublesome. If Huerta concessions were illegally made, it is difficult to see how loans obtained by him can be lawful. The lenders knew as well as the concessionaires that all Huerta's acts were held to be illegal, both by the majority of Mexicans and by this country. In fact, the loans and the granting of concessions had a close relationship to each other.

Having been told repeatedly that Carbajal is pronounced Carabahal, we ought to believe it; but remembering that Spanish in Mexico is not pronounced like Spanish in Spain, we do not.

The English King seems to have relied too much upon the human tendency to let George do it.

Madame Caillaux shot M. Calmette to prevent the publication of certain letters. Now those letters are being read in court.

Mexico always knows what to do with her ex-presidents, provided they can be caught.

Carbajal wants Carranza to deal with criminals like Cole Bleas does it.

WAYSIDE CHATS WITH OLD VIRGINIA EDITORS

"Quoting a writer as saying that 'modesty is a state of mind,' the Norfolk Ledger-Dispatch adds: 'and modesty is a matter of style.' And the trouble is that when a woman is dressed immodestly one cannot stop to inquire as to her state of mind. He just calls it immodesty, and lets it go at that."

"Plea of Insanity in Callaux Case" is the headline we lamp in the Fredericksburg Journal. It ought not to be necessary for any one connected with the case, from the judge down to the doorman, to plead insanity. It is self-evident, without plea or confession.

"Love is a spring disease," says the Houston Record-Advertiser. The disease is not confined to any season of the year, although the society editor informs us that it is most fatal in June.

"If Mr. Wilson by his waiting and watching has kept off war with Mexico," says the Pittsburg News-Tribune, "the women of the country will bless him for the husbands, sons and fathers who are spared to them by such action on his part." All the women except the daughters, wives and mothers of the Jingoists will bless him. They do not have to, for even had war come their husbands, sons and fathers would never have been in danger.

"It will be a miracle if the campaign is carried through without riot and bloodshed," says the Newport News Press of the campaign in South Carolina. The blood has already been spilled.

The antics of Cole Bleas, who stirred up a mob to applaud by yelling that he was marked for assassination, reminds the Alexandria Gazette of an incident in the career of Andrew Johnson. Here it is: "During the stormy career of Andrew Johnson, who was President of the United States after the assassination of President Lincoln, April 14, 1865, he was often threatened with assassination. While a heated political contest was progressing in Tennessee, Johnson was scheduled to speak at a public gathering. It was currently reported that Johnson appear at the meeting he would be killed by one of his foes. Johnson evidently believed in the adage, 'Men sworn for always live longest.' He, therefore, made his preparations to appear at the gathering. When announced, he mounted the stand and removed from his pockets two pistols. He then said: 'I understand that I am to be assassinated tonight. If this is a fact, I desire that this feature of the program be accepted for me. Johnson then took his seat. After remaining in the chair a few minutes he arose and said: 'My information seems to have been incorrect.' After which he proceeded to deliver his speech." Both men, of course, were playing for votes. The difference is that Andy was really in danger, and his act was one that required nerve. Undoubtedly it added to his strength. We wonder if Cole fooled anybody?

"Why should dancers be required or expected to wear shoes when performing on the beach than when in the public ballroom?" asks the Norfolk Virginian-Pilot. Because when people go to the beach they expect to find people modestly attired.

"Why not exterminate rats?" asks the Staunton Leader. All right; which one of the calamity howlers do you wish to start on?

THE PUBLIC PULSE

Editorial Expressions From Leading Newspapers

A Nordic Memorial.
The project to erect a memorial to Mme. Nordica in Central Park is a good one. Whether the memorial take the form of a statue, as is proposed at present, or some other shape, it should have the sanction of the authorities and the encouragement of the public. Nordica, an American girl with a typical American equipment of grit and intelligence, attained world-wide fame and recognition of her artistic position, such as perhaps no other American singer has had. Her Alma, her Brunswick, her Isoldes were splendid creations given to this city when she was at the height of her powers, and they won her the affection of the city's music lovers. It is especially fitting that the city erect a memorial to her, as artist and American.—New York Tribune.

Certain New York County Progressives yesterday assumed a fine "die-hard" attitude. If the Colonel attempted to make them endorse him, they would be a lot. The thing to do was to nominate a straight-out Progressive ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up his mind to go down, he had better do so with a straight-out Progressive ticket, and not a compromise ticket from top to bottom, scorn all compromises and alliances, and then go down gloriously to defeat with the banner of Social Justice demagogically flying. This is magnificent, but it is not the way to do it. As the Colonel is reported to have said once at Harvard, it is not his nature to get satisfaction out of being defeated. One gallant leadership of a forlorn hope is enough for him. And if he makes up